The Evaluation of Biochemical and Microbiological Parameters in the Diagnosis of Emphysematous Pyelonephritis

NICOLAE GRIGORE, VALENTIN PIRVUT, MARIA TOTAN, DAN BRATU, SEBASTIAN IOAN CERNUSCA MITARIU, MIHAELA CERNUSCA MITARIU, RADU CHICEA, MIHAI SAVA*, ADRIAN HASEGAN

Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Faculty of Medicine, 2A Lucian Blaga Str., 550169, Sibiu, Romania

Emphysematous pyelonephritis (EPN) is a severe, necrotizing infection of the renal parenchyma, produced by gas-forming Gram-negative bacilli. Even though only few cases are reported in the literature, the disease is not so rare and can become life-threatening if the diagnose is not quick and the therapeutic messures efficient. The biochemical analysis are the first line diagnostic, indicating the severity of the infection. The aim of our study was to to evaluate the importance of biochemical parameters, as first line diagnosis and also of the microbiological parameters, as etiologic diagnosis, in severe renal infections produced by gas forming bacili mainly in diabetic patients, predominantly women, with obstructive nephrolithiasis.

Keywords: emphysematous pyelonephritis, diabetus mellitus, urinary infection

The severe kidney infections are: pyelonephritis, pyonephritis and pyonephrosis. All these clinical forms of renal diseases are the subject of a noisy clinical picture, with fever and abdominal symptoms, ranging from mild abdominal pain to septic shock [1]. Emphysematous pyelonephritis (EPN) is a rare form of pyelonephritis, an acute necrotizing infection with gas, limited in the collecting system, renal parenchyma or extending to the perinephric and pararenal space, caused by Gram-negative, gasforming bacilli, (E. coli, Klebsiella, Proteus, Pseudomonas spp are the most commonly reported etiological agents) [2]. When the patient has a severe urinary infection, with noisy clinical symptoms (fever and abdominal pain), we have to take into discussion the diagnosis of EPN mainly if the patient has major risk factors associated: diabetes mellitus, urinary obstruction and is of female gender.

First line diagnosis relies on biochemical parameters: acute inflammatory tests for the diagnosis of acute, severe infection, biochemical tests for the evaluation of the general condition of the patient and microbiological tests in order to find the etiology of the infection But in order to differentiate EPN from other similar, more common renal infections, an ultrasound and a CT scan examination is needed [3]. The ultrasound can help in diagnosing urinary retention and lithiasic obstruction, but it has proven ineffective in showing gas production in the renal parenchyma. The CT scan is the gold standard method for the diagnosis of EPN because it is capable of locating areas of gas production and dead tissue [4, 25, 26].

When the patient has a clinical severe renal disease, we have to take into discussion the possibility of an EPN diagnosis and pay attention to the biochemical tests telling much about inflammation, unbalanced diabetes and renal failure. The microbiological tests are used to confirm the etiology. All these parameters must be followed during the evolution of the disease.

Since early 1900s, antibiotics have been used in infectious diseases, the most of them being used to treat infections in humans and animals. Sometimes the medical antibiotherapy is enough to treat the infection, at other times urgent nephrectomy is the solution to avoid a lifethreatening general sepsis. EPN is considered a major urological emergency in order to avoid high morbidity and mortality [5].

Experimental part

This retrospective study was performed at the Academic Emergency Hospital Urology Department, Sibiu, România, between the 1st of January 2012 and the 31st of december 2016 and included all the patients admitted in the hospital with the diagnosis of severe kidney infection. We found 189 patients with this diagnose and we analyzed their laboratory tests (biochemistry and microbiological tests). We also checked their radiological investigations and we divided the patients with pyelonephritis, pyonephrosis and pyonephritis.

We further considered only the 121 patients with the diagnosis of pyelonephritis and looked for those with EPN, as a form of pyelonephritis.

We analyzed all the significant biochemical parameters, recorded in the patients files (number of leucocytes, number of trombocytes, C-Reactive Protein, urea, creatinine, glucose and glicated hemoglobin) and also the microbiological parameters (uroculture, hemoculture).

All these parameters were tested in the Clinical Laboratory of our hospital and because the hospital has an centralized electronic system, we could see the last 5 years results of all our patients.

The ultrasound investigation helped us in diagnosing urinary retention and obstruction and the CT scan confirmed the gas infiltration of the renal parenchyma, collecting system, or perinephric tissue [26].

Results and discussions

After performing laboratory tests and radiological investigations we diagnosed 121 out of 189 patients (64%) with pyelonephritis, 59 out of 189 patients (31%) with pyonephrosis and 9 aut of 189 patients (5%) with pyonefritis, all being complications of preexisting renoureteral stones.

Because diabetes mellitus is a well known risk factor for EPN [6] we attentively looked for those with renal infection and diabetes and we found 49 out of 189 (25%) patients with this association. Among the 121 patients with pyelonephtitis, only 8 patients (6.6%) developed EPN. All the 8 patients with EPN were diabetic and had urolithiasis, 6 of them with obstruction. The median age was 59 years and the sex distribution was 6 females versus 2 males.

^{*} email: office@urologiesibiu.ro, Phone: 0745381064



UROCULTURE 121 – PYELONEPHRITIS



Fig. 2. Etiology agents in pyelonephritis

Biochemical	Patients]
analytes	F	F	F	F	F	F	М	М	_
Leukocytosis (mmc)	18325	21335	19650	25587	21453	19155	22318	26311	Tabel 1 PATIENTS WITH EPN-BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETRS
Platelets (mmc)	53000	45000	82000	68000	55000	60000	56000	87000	
Urea (mg/dl)	69.0	74.4	59.9	86.4	81.5	59.6	103.5	61.1	
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.86	2.31	1.77	2.67	3.11	1.48	3.25	1.87	
Glucose (mg/dl)	269.0	186.6	178.8	218.8	175.9	165.3	196.3	168.4	
HbA1c (%)	8.5	7.8	7.4	8.1	6.9	7.0	8.6	7.7]

Fig.1. Patients with the diagnosis of Severe Kidney Infection

We analyzed the following biochemical analytes: number of leucocytes, number of plateles, C-Reactive Protein (CRP), urea, serum creatinine, glucose, glycosylated hemoglobine and microbiological tests: uroculture and hemoculture.

Analyzing the biochemical parameters of the 121 patients with pyelonephritis, we found leucocytosis, trombocytopenia, modified urea and creatinine, increased CRP, increased glucose and increased glycosylated hemoglobin. There was no difference between the mean values of these biochemical analytes in the patients with pyelonephrities and emphizematous pyelonephrities.

We analyzed the urocultures of all the 121 patients with pyelonephritis and we found E. coli positive in 73 patients (60.3%) of the urocultures, Klebsiella spp in 27 patients (22.3%) and other Gram negative bacili: Proteus in 13 patients (10.8%), Pseudomonas in 8 patients (6.6%) of the urocultures. There was no significant difference in the etiologia of the urinary tract infection between the patients with pyelonephritis and emphizematous pyelonephritis.

Studying the hemocultures of the 121 patients with pyelonephritis we found 25 out of 121 patients (20%) positive with E coli.

In our study all the 8 patients with EPN were diabetic patients, but not all the diabetic patients involved in the study developed EPN.

Urolithiasis was an associated pathology in all the 8 patients with EPN.

All EPN patients received antibiotic therapy and 2 of them required percutaneous drainage because of advanced disease. Despite of the intensive treatment 2 of the 8 patients died.

Emphysematous pyelonephritis was first described in 1898 and since then the number of reported cases was not very big [7-12]. In the USA, in a busy urologic department, only 1-2 cases were encountered per year [13]. In our experience we had approximatively the same incidence (8 patients in 5 years). However, a study made by Al-Geizawi et al. in 2010 [14] concluded that EPN is vastly underreported.

Having our own experience with this disease during the last 5 years, we wanted to compare our finding with the literature theories related to EPN.

The first step diagnosis relies on the biochemical tests about the inflammation status of the patients and about their general status. In our study we concluded that there is no signifiant difference between the biochemical parameters of those with pyelonephritis and EPN and also no significant differences between the etiology of the common pyelonephritis and EPN. The conclusion of this finding is that there must be risk factors associated to the pyelonephritis in those persons who developed EPN.

The main risk factor, found in all our 8 patients with EPN, was diabetes. According to the literature EPN is strongly associated with diabetes mellitus, diabetes being present in 95% of the patients with EPN. [15]. Diabetes predisposes to urinary tract infections, but not all the diabetic persons develop EPN. The gas formation has no correlation to diabetes (the Gram negative bacili are the etiology of gas formation), but diabetic microangiopathy may contribute to the slow transport of catabolic products and may lead to accumulation of gas [17, 18].

There are some other factors that predispose diabetes patients to EPN: uncontrolled diabetes and high levels of glycosylated hemoglobin [19]. In all our 8 EPN cases the diabetes was uncontrolled with high serum levels of glucose and with high levels of glycosylated hemoglobin. The literature says that only 72% of the patients have high levels of glicated hemoglobin [20].

Another major risk factor for EPN is the renal obstruction with lithiasis. The literature mentions that obstruction is the main cause of EPN in persons without diabetes [21]. In our study all the 8 diabetic patients developed the severe urinary infection on preexistant nephrolithiasis.

The 3rd major risk factor was gender. In our study, females were predominantly affected: 3 females vs 1 male. The literature says that affected females exceed the number of males in a report 4 females vs 1 male. [22] and others say 6 females vs 1 male [23].

The EPN prognosis can be determined according to the type of emphysematous pyelonephritis, 3 stages of gas spreading :Stage 1- Gas in the collecting system. Stage 2-Gas in <50% of renal parenchyma, with minimal spread to surrounding tissues and sepsis rapidly controlled. Stage 3-Gas in >50% of renal parenchyma, extensive spread of infection in perinephric area, or evidence of multi-organ failure, uncontrolled sepsis, or refractory shock [1, 14].

We had cases in all the 3 stages, but the 2 cases who died were in stage 3 (gas in >50% of renal parenchyma, extensive spread of infection in perinephric area, or evidence of multi-organ failure, uncontrolled sepsis, or refractory shock), so the mortality rate of stage 3 EPN was 100%. According to the literature the mortality rate in EPN stage 3. is 80% [14].

Other prognostic factors are serum creatinine and platelets count. Studies associate the mortality rate with high leucocytes number, high serum creatinine levels and low plateles number. [24]. All our patients had high numbers of leucocytes, variable levels of creatinine, all greater than 1.4 mg/dL and the platelets were under the nomale range, but we could not corelate the values with the mortality rate, because we had only 2 patients who died, and statisticaly it is not relevant.

Because the treatment of EPN involves sometimes urgent nephrectomy, the literature analyzes the advantage of this treatment [18].

In our study all the 8 EPN patients had a surgical nephrectomy but it was life saving only in 6 patients, so the overall success of nephrectomy was 75%. Because 2 patients died, despite the energetic treatment, the mortality rate was 25%. Comparable results can be found in the literature there are: HuangJJ, Tseng CC, had 90% success after nephrectomy (9 from 10 patients) and the mortality rate was 18%.[1, 24, 25].

Conclusions

Emphisematous pyelonephritis is a rare but severe kidney infection with acute necrosis in renal parenchyma and perirenal space. Infection is caused by gaseous uropathogens and requires early diagnosis and initiation of treatment. The risk factors for a renal infection to became EPN are: unballenced diabetes, obstructive urolithiasis and female gender. Biochemical and microbiological tests: leucocytes, platelets, urea, serum creatinine, glucose, glicated hemoglobin, are the first line diagnosis. The prognostic factors related to diabetes and also those related to the general status of the patient, relies also on biochemical data. Severe prognosis factors are the modified values of are serum creatinine (high) and number of platelets (low). Analyzing these cases we could compare our work to others and improve the knowledges concerning this life-threatening renal disease.and recommend a more aggressive treatment.

Acknowledgements: This study, being a retrospective one, did not require a written consent from the patients involved. The authors declare no conflict of interests and no sponsorship. All authors have read and approved this publication and had equal scientific contribution in publishing this material.

References

1.HUANG J., TSENG C. Emphysematous pyelonephritis: clinicoradiological classification, management, prognosis, and pathogenesis. Arch Intern Med (2000) 160: 797–805. [PubMed]

2.FALAGAS ME, ALEXIOU VG. Giannopoulou KP, et al. Risk factors for mortality in patients with emphysematous pyelonephritis: a metaanalysis. J Urol 2007;178:880-5; quiz 1129. 10.1016/j.juro.2007.05.017 [PubMed] [Cross Ref]

3.ALHAJJAJ F., PASHA F. Emphysematous pyelonephritis in renal allograft – a case report. Int J Health Sci (2016) 10: 311–313. [PMC free article] [PubMed

4.FUJITA S., WATANABE J., REED A., HEMMING A., SOLIS D., NETZEL T., ET AL. Case of emphysematous pyelonephritis in a renal allograft. Clin Transplant 19: 559–562. (2005) [PubMed]

5. BADEA, M.N., DIACU, E., RADU, V.M., Influence of Antibiotics on Copper Uptake by Plants, Rev. Chim. (Bucharest), **64**, no. 7, 2013, p. 684

6.HIROSE Y, KAIDA H². Emphysematous Pyelonephritis. N Engl J Med. 2016 Oct 27;375(17):1671.

7.DUNN SR, DEWOLF WC, GONZALEZ R. Emphysematous pyelonephritis: Report of 3 cases treated by nephrectomy. J Urol. 1975;114:348-50. [PubMed]

8.ZABBO A, MONTIE JE, POPOWNIAK KL, WEINSTEIN AJ. Bilateral emphysematous pyelonephritis. Urology. 1985;25:293–6. [PubMed

9.SHOKEIR AA, EL-AZAB M, MOHSEN T, EL-DIASTY T. Emphysematous pyelonephritis: A 15-year experience with 20 cases. Urology. 1997;49:343-6. [PubMed]

10.KUCHAY MS, LAWAY BA, BHAT MA, MIR SA. Medical therapy alone can be sufficient for bilateral emphysematous pyelonephritis: Report of a new case and review of previous experiences. Int Urol Nephrol. 2014;46:223-7. [PubMed]

11.MISGAR RA, WANI AI, BASHIR MI, PALA NA, MUBARIK I, LATEEF M, ET AL. Successful medical management of severe bilateral emphysematous pyelonephritis: Case studies. Clin Diabetes. 2015;33:76–9. [PMC free article] [PubMed]

12.MISGAR RA, MUBARIK I, WANI AI¹, BASHIR M, RAMZAN M, LAWAY BA¹.Emphysematous pyelonephritis: A 10-year experience with 26 cases. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2016 Jul-Aug;20(4):475-80. doi: 10.4103/2230-8210.183475.

13.LU YC, HONG JH, CHIANG BJ, PONG YH, HSUEH PR, HUANG CY, PU YS. Recommended 13 13. Initial Antimicrobial Therapy for Emphysematous Pyelonephritis: 51 Cases and 14-Year-Experience of a Tertiary Referral Center. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016 May;95(21):e3573. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000003573.

14.AL-GEIZAWI S., FARNEY A., ROGERS J., ASSIMOS D., REQUARTH J., DOARES W., ET AL. (2010) Renal allograft failure due to emphysematous pyelonephritis: Transpl Infect Dis 12: 543–550. [PubMed]

15.FALAGAS ME, ALEXIOU VG, GIANNOPOULOU KP, ET AL. Risk factors for mortality in patients with emphysematous pyelonephritis: a metaanalysis. J Urol 2007;178:880-5; quiz 1129. 10.1016/j.juro.2007.05.017 [PubMed] [Cross Ref]

16.LIN YC, LIN YC, LIN HD, ET AL. Risk factors of renal failure and severe complications in patients with emphysematous pyelonephritisa single-center 15-year experience. Am J Med Sci 2012;343:186-91.

17.HUANG JJ, CHEN KW, RUAAN MK. Mixed acid fermentation of glucose as a mechanism of emphysematous urinary tract infection. J Urol. 1991;146:148–51. [PubMed]

18.SCHAEFFER AJ. Infections of the urinary tract. In: Walsh PC, Retik AB, Vaughan ED, Wein AJ, Campbell M, editors. Campbell's Urology. 8th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders; 2002. pp. 556–8.

19. KAPOOR R, MURUGANANDHAM K, GULIA AK, SINGLA M, AGRAWAL S, MANDHANI A, ET AL. Predictive factors for mortality and need for nephrectomy in patients with emphysematous pyelonephritis. BJU Int. 2010;105:986–9. [PubMed]

20.COOK DJ, ACHONG MR, DOBRANOWSKI J. Emphysematous pyelonephritis. Complicated urinary tract infection in diabetes. Diabetes Care. 1989;12:229–32. [PubMed]

21.LU YC, CHIANG BJ, PONG YH, CHEN CH, PU YS, HSUEH PR, HUANG CY. Emphysematous pyelonephritis: clinical characteristics and prognostic factors. Int J Urol. 2014 Mar;21(3):277-82. doi: 10.1111/ iju.12244. Epub 2013 Aug 22.

22.SANFORD TH, MYERS F, CHI T, BAGGA HS, TAYLOR AG, STOLLER ML. Emphysematous pyelonephritis: the impact of urolithiasis on disease severity. Transl Androl Urol. 2016 Oct;5(5):774-779.

23.HIROSE Y, KAIDA H. Emphysematous Pyelonephritis. N Engl J Med. 2016 Oct 27;375(17):1671.

24.GARG V, BOSE A, JINDAL J, GOYAL A, Comparison of Clinical Presentation and Risk Factors in Diabetic and Non-Diabetic Females with Urinary Tract Infection Assessed as Per the European Association of Urology Classification. J Clin Diagn Res. 2015 Jun; 9(6): PC12–PC14. Published online 2015 Jun 1. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2015/ 14177.6029PMCID: PMC4525549

25.ABOUMARZOUK OM, HUGHES O, NARAHARI K, COULTHARD R, KYNASTON H, CHLOSTA P, SOMANI B. Emphysematous pyelonephritis: Time for a management plan with an evidence-based approach. Arab J Urol. 2014 Jun; 12(2): 106–115. Published online 2013 Nov 19. doi: 10.1016/j.aju.2013.09.005PMCID: PMC4434438

26.UNLUER EE, KARAGOZ A, Computed tomography in emphysematous pyelonephritis, Pan Afr Med J. 2015; 22: 186. Published online 2015 Oct 23. doi: 10.11604/pamj.2015.22.186.7902 PMCID: PMC4752837.

Manuscript received:7.01.2017